Comparison of Conventional and Conservation Tillage Systems for Cotton (Field 5CDE) Wayne Keeling, John Everitt, James Bordovsky, Doug Nesmith, and Cody Mull

Objective: The objective was to investigate the potential water savings and management problems of conservation versus conventional tillage of cotton.

Stoneville 4892BR Methodology: and 4646B2R were planted in four tillage treatments in two rotations including corn (2002)-cotton-cotton, and corn (2003) - cotton. These treatments included conventional tillage (shred, disc, list, rolling cultivator, rod weed, in-season cultivation) alone or in combination with a para-till and no-tillage alone or in combination with a para-till. ST4793RR was planted in an conventional adjacent tillage, continuous cotton area. Herbicide treatments in the no-till system included Roundup WeatherMax preplant for winter weeds, Prowl at 3.5 pt/A applied and water



Fig. 1. Crop tillage study at the Helms Research Farm, June 2004.

incorporated prior to planting, two postemergence topical and postemergence directed Roundup

| Table 1. Cotton yield and gross returns as influenced by tillage systems and variety. | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------|----|----------------|----|
| System | Variety | Yield (lb/A) | | Gross | |
| | | | | Returns (\$/A) | |
| Continuous cotton | | | | | |
| Conventional | ST 4793 RR | 1374 | bc | 662 | а |
| Corn(02)-cotton | | | | | |
| Conventional | ST 4892 BR | 1304 | с | 573 | b |
| Limited | ST 4892 BR | 1318 | с | 627 | ab |
| Alternate | ST 4892 BR | 1447 | bc | 636 | ab |
| No-till | ST 4892 BR | 1394 | bc | 662 | а |
| | Average | 1366 | | 624 | |
| Corn(03)-cotton | | | | | |
| Conventional | ST 4892 BR | 1515 | ab | 667 | а |
| Limited | ST 4892 BR | 1436 | bc | 652 | ab |
| Alternate | ST 4892 BR | 1598 | а | 705 | а |
| No-till | ST 4892 BR | 1405 | bc | 638 | ab |
| | Average | 1488 | | 665 | |

WeatherMax applications during the season.

Results: Average yields across tillage systems were similar between continuous cotton and the rotations. Average yields were 120 lb/A greater and gross return \$41/A greater in the corn(02)-cotton rotation than the corn(03)-cotton (Table 1). Within rotations, no difference in yield between tillage treatments existed in the corn(02)-cotton-cotton rotation. Significantly lower notill yields resulted in the corn(03)cotton rotation compared to the alternate tillage system.